

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,371	04/15/2004	Yasunori Ogawa	109823.01	6621	
25944 7	7590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BE	RRIDGE, PLC	KOVAL, MELISSA J			
P.O. BOX 199	28	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22320		2851		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ah.			
1		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	VIV.			
Office Action Summary		10/824	,371	OGAWA, YASUNOR	d			
		Examir	ner	Art Unit				
			J Koval	2851				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communical Reply	tion appears on t	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	9SS			
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAtions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 kt (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deserted for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, ply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no action. ays, a reply within the sry period will apply and by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thin d will expire SIX (6) MO! application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1) 🖂 🖪	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <u>15 April 2004</u>	•					
· ·								
3)□ \$								
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	on of Claims				<			
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/are value. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from	·					
Application	on Papers							
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)⊠ T	☑ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2004 is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the high control in the control is objected to by	•	_	• • •	• •			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)⊠ 2	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docada. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docada. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have b cuments have b he priority docu Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in A ments have beer Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/906,075</u> . I received in this National St	age			
A44-14	,							
Attachment(s) of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/04</u> .		5)	nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/824,371

Art Unit: 2851

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 15, 17, and 18 of copending Application No. 09/906,075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim 1 sets forth: "An optical device provided in a housing, comprising (See line 1, claims 15, 17, and 18):

a polarization converter for converting a light beam into a predetermined linear polarized light (See lines 2 and 3 of claims 15, 17 and 18.);

a lens array for receiving the predetermined linear polarized light (See line 4 of claims 15, 17, and 18.);

Application/Control Number: 10/824,371

Art Unit: 2851

a holding frame that releasably retains the polarization converter and lens array relative to one another, the holding frame being housed within the housing and including a jig engaging portion to allow the holding frame position to be adjusted with the housing (See lines 5,6 and 7 of claims 15, 17 and 18.)."

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 2 is provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24, 26, and 27 of copending Application No. 09/906,075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

Claim 2 sets forth: "A projector, comprising (See line 1 of claims 24, 26, and 27.): a housing (See line 2 of claims 24, 26, and 27.),

a polarization converter for converting a light beam into a predetermined linear polarized light (See lines 3 and 4 of claims 24, 26, and 27.);

a lens array for receiving the predetermined linear polarized light (See line 5 of claims 24, 26 and 27.);

a holding frame that releasably retains the polarization converter and lens array relative to one another, the holding frame being housed within the housing and including a jig engaging portion to allow the holding frame position to be adjusted with the housing (See lines 6, 7 and 8 of claims 24, 26, and 27)."

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Fujimori et al. U.S. Patent 6,631,039 B2 teaches an optical unit and projector using the same.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa J Koval whose telephone number is (571) 272-2121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJK